United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

TE	RHO	N LAMARR GUIDRY	Case Number:	1:06-MJ-301
requ	In a ire the	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.§31	42(f), a detention hearing ha	s been held. I conclude that the following facts
	(1)	Part I - The defendant is charged with an offense desoffense) (state or local offense that would have be existed) that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. an offense for which the maximum senter an offense for which the maximum term	een a receral offense if a circ .§3156(a)(4). nce is life imprisonment or de	cumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had eath.
			ndant had been convicted of t	wo or more prior federal offenses described in 18
	(2) (3)	The offense described in finding (1) was committee offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed such the offense described in finding (1).	d while the defendant was or	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttabl assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and to presumption.	the community. I further fin	on or combination of conditions will reasonably d that the defendant has not rebutted this
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defe		
X	(2)	under 18 U.S.C.§924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumptior reasonably assure the appearance of the defen	n established by finding 1 tha dant as required and the sa	et no condition or combination of conditions will fety of the community.
X	(1) (2)	Alterna There is a serious risk that the defendant will no There is a serious risk that the defendant will en	, ,	er person or the community.
		trust placed in him by various courts, such as ir	felony convictions, defendar in the form of probation. Foll rate occasions held in conte ated the conditions of his ni	nt has shown a remarkable refusal to abide by th owing a 1998 conviction for operating under the mpt of court. Following a subsequent conviction ne-month probationary period. Defendant was
		Part II - Written Staten	nent of Reasons for Do	etention
find t	hat th	e credible testimony and information submit	ted at the hearing establis	shes by clear and convincing evidence that
	dition	(a) will account the enfety of the common title	r the engagement of the	defendant. It appears defendant may have

no condition(s) will assure the safety of the community or the appearance of the defendant. It appears defendant may have rebutted the part of the presumption pertaining to risk of flight in light of the fact that he has been charged in state court as we and has remained present while charges there have been pending. He has not, however, rebutted the presumption that he continues to be a danger to the community, which presumption has only been highlighted by (continued on attachment)

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated:	January 17, 2006	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.	
		Signature of Judicial Officer	
		THE STATE OF THE S	

Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

United States v. Terhon Lamarr Guidry 1:06-MJ-301

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Page 2.

Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

Defendant received his first felony conviction in 2001 on a delivery of cocaine charge. It appears he was on probation for that offense when he was convicted of a second felony cocaine charge, which resulted in a prison term and a revocation of his probation for the first felony offense. According to the defendant's probation agent, defendant has been placed on tether numerous times for technical violations and violated as recently as May 2005 for drinking. There is a violation now pending in this regard. The record also reflects that defendant was released on a bond by the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Indiana on DUI charge, but failed to appear there on April 20, 2005. It is quite possible defendant will be facing a mandatory life in prison on the present charges.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)

defendant's terrible record in those instances when a court has placed trust in him by releasing him on probation. There is no reason to believe that he would not abuse such trust while on bond if given the opportunity. It appears that the present charge also arose while defendant was on probation.